

Anna Wojciechowska, University of Silesia, Institute of Mathematics, ul.  
Bankowa 14, 40 007 Katowice, Poland.

## ON IDEALS WHICH COULD BE ASSOCIATED TO A POSET OF TREES

Let  $(\mathbb{Q}, \leq)$  be a poset. Consider the following types of ideals, which are associated to  $(\mathbb{Q}, \leq)$ .

- $(q^0) = \{S \subseteq \bigcup \mathbb{Q} : \forall p \in \mathbb{Q} \exists q \leq p \ q \cap S = \emptyset\}$ ;
- $(q^1) = \{S \subseteq \mathbb{Q} : \forall p \in \mathbb{Q} \exists q \leq p \ \{y : y \leq q\} \cap S = \emptyset\}$ ;
- $(q^2) = \{S \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^* : \forall p \in \mathbb{Q} \exists q \leq p \ q^* \cap S = \emptyset\}$ .

If  $Q$  is a collection of trees, e.g. an arboreal forcing condition like in [3], then meaning of  $\bigcup \mathbb{Q}$  is cleared. Formally, trees are contained in  $Seq_X$  (finite sequences of elements from  $X$ ) and any tree  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq Seq_X$  one can identify with the set  $[\mathcal{T}] \subseteq X^\omega$  of all its infinite branches.  $\mathbb{Q}^*$  denotes the collection of all maximal centered families which are contained in  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $p^* = \{U \in \mathbb{Q}^* : p \in U\}$ .

Results about:

- The ideal  $(q^2)$  for  $([\omega]^\omega, \subseteq^*)$ , see [1] and [2];
- $(q^0)$  for  $([\omega]^\omega, \subseteq^*)$  or the Mathias forcing conditions, compare [8] and [9];
- $(q^0)$  for the Silver forcing or  $n$ -Silters forcing conditions, compare [6] and [7];

are generalized for system of trees.

System of (Laver) trees were examined in [5] and [4]. Given

$$\langle A_s \in [\omega]^\omega : s \in \omega^{<\omega} \rangle = \bar{A}$$

---

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary: 03A35; Secondary: 06A06  
Key words: system of trees, non separative poset

define  $p_s(\bar{A})$  to be the unique Laver tree such that the root of  $p_s(\bar{A})$  is  $s$  and for every node  $t \supseteq s$  with  $t \in p_s(\bar{A})$  we have that

$$\text{split}(p_s(\bar{A}), t) = A_t.$$

Define  $\bar{A} \subseteq^* \bar{B}$  iff  $A_s \setminus B_s$  is finite for all  $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$ , see [5], and define  $\bar{A} \prec^* \bar{B}$  iff  $A_s \subseteq B_s$  for all but finite many  $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$ , see [4]. The poset  $\subseteq^*$  is separative, so one can directly adopt Base Matrix Tree Theorem and Kulpa - Szymański Theorem similarly as in [1], [2]. The poset  $\prec^*$  is not separative, so it need a non separative version of Base Matrix Tree Theorem. Counter-examples of systems of trees without properties needed for non separative version of the Base Trees Theorem are given, too.

## References

- [1] B. Balcar, J. Pelant and P. Simon, *The space of ultrafilters on  $N$  covered by nowhere dense sets*, Fund. Math. 110 (1980), no. 1, 11 - 24.
- [2] B. Balcar and P. Simon, *Disjoint refinement*, Handbook of Boolean algebras, vol. 2 (1989), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 333 - 388.
- [3] J. Brendle, *Strolling through paradise*, Fund. Math. 148 (1995), no. 1, 1 - 25.
- [4] M. Goldstern, M. Repick, S. Shelah oraz O. Spinas, *On tree ideals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1995), no. 5, 1573 - 1581.
- [5] H. Judah, A. Miller and S. Shelah, *Sacks forcing, Laver forcing, and Martin's axiom*, Arch. Math. Logic 31 (1992), no. 3, 145 - 161.
- [6] P. Kalembe, Sz. Plewik and A. Wojciechowska, *On the ideal  $(v^0)$* , Cent. Eur. J. Math. 6 (2008), no. 2, 218 - 227.
- [7] P. Kalembe and Sz. Plewik, *Ideals which generalize  $(v^0)$* , Cent. Eur. J. Math. 8 (2010), no. 6, 1016 - 1025.
- [8] Sz. Plewik, *On completely Ramsey sets*, Fund. Math. 127 (1987), no. 2, 127 - 132.
- [9] Sz. Plewik, *Ideals of nowhere Ramsey sets are isomorphic*, J. Symbolic Logic 59 (1994), no. 2, 662 - 667.