Piotr Sworowski, Casimirus the Great University, Mathematics Department, Plac Weyssenhoffa 11, 85-072 Bydgoszcz, Poland. email: piotrus@ukw.edu.pl

ON LUSIN-TYPE APPROXIMATELY CONTINUOUS INTEGRALS

The notion of approximate derivative has its origin in the work of Lusin [8]. It was born in order to serve in a descriptive definition of wide Denjoy integral. Despite the Lusin's definition of wide Denjoy integral uses approximate differentiation, not all $exact^1$ approximate derivatives are Denjoy integrable (only those with continuous primitives: simply because Denjoy integral is by definition a continuous integral). Soon, however, several integration processes that allow to recover a function from its exact approximate derivative, appeared. Among the most common that cover also Lebesgue integration are approximately continuous Burkill's integral [1] and approximately continuous Kurzweil–Henstock integral. Both the latter are in fact special cases of some more general processes defined with respect to a derivation base.

The connection between the aforementioned approximately continuous integrals and wide Denjoy integral had been for a long time a matter of interest. Wide Denjoy integration is less restrictive in the sense of variation of indefinite integrals, and so, it turns out, there are Denjoy integrable functions that are not Burkill integrable (and even approximately Kurzweil–Henstock integrable). First example of such a function has been given by Tolstov [13]; see also [2]. Since wide Denjoy and Burkill integrals are noncomparable (as mentioned above, exact approximate derivatives with discontinuous primitives are not Denjoy integrable), it was natural to ask for a common extension for both of them. There were various integration processes proposed, see for instance [3, 4, 5, 9, 11], all being straightforward extensions of Lusin's definition of wide Denjoy integral via generalization of absolute continuity (ACGfunction) and most of, at the time, lacking a correct proof of either extending also Burkill's (or the approximately continuous Kurzweil–Henstock) integral,

76

¹Here, *exact* approximate derivative means this derivative exists everywhere; i.e., the given function is everywhere approximately differentiable.

or being uniquely defined. Only later on correct proofs have been provided [6, 7, 10]. It was shown that the smallest of the extensions proposed (Kubota integral) indeed covers approximate Kurzweil–Henstock integral. See [12] for a brief history of the problem and a relation chart for the solutions.

We say an $F: \langle a, b \rangle \to \mathbb{R}$ is [ACG] if $\langle a, b \rangle = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$, where for each n, E_n is closed and the restriction $F \upharpoonright E_n$ is absolutely continuous.

Definition 1. We say that an $f: \langle a, b \rangle \to \mathbb{R}$ is Kubota integrable, if there exists an approximately continuous [ACG]-function $F: \langle a, b \rangle \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $F'_{ap}(x) = f(x)$ for almost all $x \in \langle a, b \rangle$. The integral of f is defined as F(b) - F(a).

In this talk the problem if Kubota integral is the smallest extension possible for its purpose will be discussed in a wider context of approximately continuous and Baire one star functions.

References

- J. C. Burkill, The approximately continuous Perron integral, Mathematische Zeitschrift, 34 (1931), 270–278.
- [2] S. Fu, An ACG function which is not an ACG_S-function, Real Analysis Exchange, 19(1) (1993/94), 274–277.
- [3] R. A. Gordon, Some comments on an approximately continuous Khintchine integral, Real Analysis Exchange, 20(2) (1994/95), 831–841.
- [4] Y. Kubota, On the approximately continuous Denjoy integral, Tôhoku Mathematical Journal, 15(3) (1963), 253–264.
- [5] Y. Kubota, An integral of the Denjoy type, Proceedings of the Japan Academy, 40(9) (1964), 713–717.
- [6] C. M. Lee, Kubota's AD-integral is more general than Burkill's APintegral, Real Analysis Exchange, 22(1) (1996/97), 433–436.
- [7] S. P. Lu, Notes on the approximately continuous Henstock integral, Real Analysis Exchange, 22(1) (1996/97), 377–381.
- [8] N. N. Lusin, Sur les propriétés de l'intégrale de M. Denjoy, Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, 155 (1912), 1475–1477.
- [9] J. Ridder, Über approximativ stetige Denjoy-Integrale, Fundamenta Mathematicae, 21 (1933), 1–10.

- [10] D. N. Sarkhel, On the approximately continuous integrals of Burkill and Kubota, Real Analysis Exchange, 23(2) (1997/98), 735–742.
- [11] D. N. Sarkhel, A. B. Kar, [PVB] functions and integration, Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society (Series A), 36 (1984), 335–353.
- [12] P. Sworowski, An answer to some questions of Ene, Real Analysis Exchange, 30(1) (2004/05), 183–192.
- [13] G. Tolstoff, Sur l'intégrale de Perron, Matematicheskii Sbornik, 5(47)(3) (1939), 647–659.