## Comparison of some families of real functions in sense of porosity

## Julia Wódka

We consider set  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  with uniform convergence metric, i.e.

$$\rho(f,g) := \max\{1, \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x) - g(x)|\} \text{ for } f, g \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$$

and the following subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ : quasi-continuous ( $\Omega$ ) and Świątkowski functions ( $\hat{S}$ ) and strong Świątkowski functions ( $\hat{S}_s$ ):

- $f \in \Omega$  if for all a < x < b and each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an open interval  $J \subset (a, b)$  such that diam  $f[J \cup \{x\}] < \varepsilon$ .
- $f \in S$  if for all a < b with  $f(a) \neq f(b)$ , there is a y between f(a) and f(b) and an  $x \in (a, b) \cap C(f)$  such that f(x) = y.
- $f \in S_s$  if for all a < b with  $f(a) \neq f(b)$  and for all y between f(a) and f(b) there is an  $x \in (a, b) \cap C(f)$  such that f(x) = y.

For arbitrary matric space (X, d),  $x \in M \subset X$ , and  $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$  one can define:

$$\gamma(x, r, M) = \sup\{t \ge 0 : \exists_{z \in X} B(z, t) \subset B(x, r) \setminus M\}$$
 and

$$p(M, x) = 2 \limsup_{t \to r^+} \frac{\gamma(x, r, M)}{r}$$

Quantity p(M, x) is called *porosity of* M at the point x. We say that M is *porous* if p(M, x) > 0 for all  $x \in M$  and that it is *strongly porous* if p(M, x) = 1 for all  $x \in M$ .

We have compared considered sets in terms of porosity and we obtained the following results:

- the family  $\hat{S}_s$  is strongly porous in  $(\Omega \hat{S}, \rho)$ ,
- the family  $Q\hat{S}$  is strongly porous in  $(\hat{S}, \rho)$ ,
- the family  $Q\hat{S}$  is 1/3 porous in  $(Q, \rho)$ ,
- the family  $Q\hat{S}$  is not strongly porous in  $(Q, \rho)$ .