Mariía Guadalupe Morales Macías , Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Mexico . email: MISSING

SOME RESULTS RELATED WITH THE RIEMANN-LEBESGUE LEMMA I

We obtain some versions of the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma in the Henstock-Kurzweil (HK) Integral context. In general, this result is not valid on HK[a, b]. On the HK space completion ($\widehat{HK([a, b])}$) the following asymptotic behavior holds.

Let [a,b] a compact interval. If φ is a function on [a,b] to \mathbb{R} such that φ' is bounded and $\varphi(s) = o(s)$ when $|s| \to \infty$, then for each $f \in H\widehat{K([a,b])}$

$$\int_{a}^{b} \varphi(st) f(t) dt = o(s), \ |s| \to \infty.$$
(1)

Because of the functions sine and cosine are such that $\sin(s) = \cos(s) = o(s)$, $s \to \infty$, then the Fourier Coefficients have a similar behavior as the expression (??). So with this result we obtained Fourier Series partial sum has an asymptotic behavior as the expression (??), for elements that are in the completion.

The Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma is related to the convergence of integrals of products of the form fg_{λ} , where f and g_{λ} satisfy certain conditions for the product to be integrable in some sense. In this case, if $f \in H\widehat{K([a, b])}$ and gis a bounded variation function on \mathbb{R} , then

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)g(\lambda t)dt = \lim_{t \to \infty} g_+(t) \int_0^{\infty} f(t) + \lim_{t \to \infty} g_-(t) \int_{-\infty}^0 f(t) dt = \lim_{t \to \infty} g_+(t) \int_0^{\infty} f(t) dt = \lim_{t \to \infty} g_+(t$$

We can note that $\lim_{t\to\infty} g_+(t)$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} g_-(t)$ always exist.

If we consider $A_{\lambda} = \int_{a}^{b} f(t)g(\lambda t)$ as new coefficients, the serie generated is

$$\dot{S}(f,t) = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\infty} A_{\lambda} g(\lambda t).$$

7

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary: ; Secondary: Key words: ,

We get an analogous result to Cantor-Lebesgue Lemma. It means that, if $\dot{S}(f,t)$ converges, then the coefficients A_{λ} tend to zero, as λ goes to infinite. We extend this result for a numerate family of bounded variation functions.